Hi Dave,

Here's my suggested rewrite of the introduction to the supplemental analyses to better represent them and clarify that they are not alternatives. I've included a list of the two supplemental analyses we discussed at our meeting, adding a couple more in the process, i.e., those headed by a "?" mark. Since we intend to include an analysis of each of these in the EIS/EIR and these others can also be thought of as sensitivity analyses, you might consider including these in this Chapter 4, XII, instead of elsewhere in the EIS/EIR.

John Sarna 916-227-7609

Chapter 4, XII - Supplemental Analyses

Several supplemental analyses were performed to check the sensitivity of model outputs to potential changes in input parameters. Since changes in these parameters could materially affect the environmental impacts to resources described elsewhere in this Chapter, it is important to ascertain their effect. Please note that, since the proposed action is the same for each of these analyses, these analyses are not alternatives. Instead, they reflect changes in assumptions for future actions that may or may not occur. The following supplemental analyses were performed:

- -Under the California Full-Build-Out analysis, an unexpectedly large population growth is assumed for California. Entirely consumed would be California's allocation of 32,000 acre-feet per annum ground and surface water, including 10,000 acrefeet per annum of surface water in the Truckee Basin. Also entirely consumed would be California's allocation of 23,000 acre-feet per annum in the California part of the Lake Tahoe Basin.
- -Under the Shared Ownership of Donner Lake analysis, it is assumed that TCID would not sell Sierra Pacific their water storage rights to Donner Lake.
- ?Under the TCID Storage analysis, it is assumed that TROA includes provisions for contracts between TCID and the federal government for the right to a portion of the secondary stored water in federal reservoirs.

?Under the revised OCAP analysis, it is assumed that

?Under the 199? TROA analysis, instead of considering the outcome of TROA in the year 2052, the outcome is evaluated for the year 199?.

